arXiv:1512.03235v1 [math.AG] 10 Dec 2015

FORMAL ORBIFOLDS AND ORBIFOLD BUNDLES IN POSITIVE CHARACTERISTIC

MANISH KUMAR AND A. J. PARAMESWARAN

Abstract. We define formal orbifolds over an algebraically closed field of arbitrary characteristic as curves together with some branch data. Their étale coverings and their fundamental groups are also defined. These fundamental group approximates the fundamental group of an appropriate affine curve. We also define vector bundles on these objects and the category of orbifold bundles on any smooth projective curve. Analogues of various statement about vector bundles which are true in characteristic zero are proved. Some of these are positive characteristic avatar of notions which appear in the second author's work ([Par]) in characteristic zero.

1. Introduction

In this article we consider curves X over an algebraically closed field with some branch data P on X which we call formal orbifolds (see Definition 2.1). These object (X, P) when considered over fields of characteristic zero has a simpler description and were studied in [Par]. Though many of the results in this paper are characteristic free, they were mostly known in characteristic zero.

We define morphisms of formal orbifolds and study homotopic behaviour of these objects. It is shown that the étale fundamental group $\pi_1(X, P)$ are profinite groups of finite rank (Corollary 2.39) and the étale fundamental group of any affine curve (which is of infinite rank and a mysterious object) can be approximated by the fundamental group of its smooth completion with various branch data supported on the boundary (Theorem 2.40). Hence these objects help in understanding the fundamental group of affine curves. Formal orbifolds are homotopically closer to smooth projective curves than affine curves. Moreover (X, O) where O is the trivial branch data is same as the projective curve X. So one could think of formal orbifolds as a generalization of smooth projective curves and see which results for smooth projective curves could be generalized to formal orbifolds. For instance, we prove a version of Riemann-Hurwitz formula (Theorem 2.20).

If the branch data of a formal orbifold "comes" from a covering of smooth projective curves then it is called geometric and the resulting formal orbifold is called a geometric formal orbifold. We investigate which formal orbifolds are geometric formal orbifolds. Though we provide some partial results, a complete characterization is out of reach at the moment.

The second part of the paper (Section 3) deals with vector bundles on a geometric formal orbifold (X, P). These are defined to be Γ-bundles on Y where $(Y, O) \to (X, P)$ is an étale Γ-Galois cover for some finite group Γ. We show that this is a well-defined category (independent of the choice of the cover Y) (Proposition 3.6) consisting of duals and tensor products. The subcategory of degree zero

strongly semistable bundles (respectively essentially finite bundles) form a Tannakian category (Theorem 3.11). Hence the notion of Nori fundamental group extends to geometric formal orbifolds as well (Remark 3.12).

Orbifold bundles on a smooth projective curve X is defined to be an object in the category of vector bundle over geometric formal orbifold (X, P) where P is a geometric branch data on X. A version of the projection formula for orbifold bundles is also proved (Theorem 3.14).

2. Formal orbifolds and coverings

Let X be a smooth projective curve over an algebraically closed field k. Let $x \in X$ be any closed point. Let $\mathcal{K}_{X,x}$ denote the fraction field of the completion $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x}$ of regular functions at x.

Definition 2.1. (1) A quasi-branch data on X is a function P which sends a closed point x of X to a finite Galois extension $P(x)$ of $\mathcal{K}_{X,x}$ in some fixed algebraic closure of $\mathcal{K}_{X,x}$. Let P and P' be two *quasi-branch data* on X, we say $P \leq P'$ if $P(x) \subset P'(x)$ for all closed points $x \in X$.

(2) The *support of* P, $\mathrm{Supp}(P)$ is defined to be the set of all $x \in X$ such that $P(x)$ is a non trivial extension of $\mathcal{K}_{X,x}$. A quasi-branch data P is said to be a *branch data* if $\mathrm{Supp}(P)$ is a finite set.

(3) A smooth projective curve with a branch data is called a *formal orbifold* . Since fiber products are not connected in general, we allow formal orbifolds to be disconnected.

The branch data on X with empty support is denoted by O and is called the *trivial branch data*.

Remark 2.2. A formal orbifold $\mathcal{X} = (X, P)$ is equivalent to the following data: $\mathcal{X} = (X, \{x_i, P(x_i) : 1 \leq i \leq r\})$ consist of a smooth projective curve X together with finitely many closed points $x_1, \ldots, x_r$ and finite Galois extensions $P(x_i)/\mathcal{K}_{X,x_i}$ associated to x_i for $1 \leq i \leq r$.

Lemma 2.3. *Let X be a smooth projective curve and P, P' be two quasi-branch data on X. For any closed point $x \in X$, let $(P \cap P')(x) = P(x) \cap P'(x)$ and $(PP')(x) = P(x)P'(x)$. Then $P \cap P'$ and PP' are quasi-branch data. If P or P' is a branch data then so is $P \cap P'$. If P and P' are branch data then so is PP'. Also $P \leq PP'$ and $P \cap P' \leq P$ and P'.*

Proof. It follows immediately from the fact that intersection or compositum of Galois extensions are Galois and the observation that $\mathrm{Supp}(P \cap P') \subset \mathrm{Supp}(P) \cap \mathrm{Supp}(P')$ and $\mathrm{Supp}(PP') = \mathrm{Supp}(P) \cup \mathrm{Supp}(P')$. □

Remark 2.4. (Branch data associated to a cover) Let $f : Y \to X$ be a finite morphism of smooth projective curves. For $x \in X$ a closed point, define $B_f(x)$ to be the compositum of Galois closures of $\mathcal{K}_{Y,y}/\mathcal{K}_{X,x}$ over all $y \in Y$ such that $f(y) = x$. The compositum is taken in a fixed algebraic closure of $\mathcal{K}_{X,x}$. Since branch locus of a covering is a finite set B_f is a branch data with $\mathrm{Supp}(B_f)$ same as the branch locus of f.

Definition 2.5. Let $f : Y \to X$ be a covering of smooth projective curves. Let P be a branch data on X. For $y \in Y$, we define the *pull-back of a branch data* as

$f^*P(y) = P(f(y))\mathcal{K}_{Y,y}$ as an extension of $\mathcal{K}_{Y,y}$. Since $P(f(y))/\mathcal{K}_{X,f(y)}$ is a Galois extension, so is $f^*P(y)/\mathcal{K}_{Y,y}$. Moreover, $\mathrm{Supp}(f^*P) \subset f^{-1}(\mathrm{Supp}(P))$.

Definition 2.6. (1) Let Y and X be smooth projective curves with branch data Q and P respectively. A morphism of formal orbifolds $f : (Y, Q) \to (X, P)$ is a morphism $f : Y \to X$ such that for all $y \in Y$ the extension $Q(y)/\mathcal{K}_{X,f(y)}$ contains the extension $P(f(y))/\mathcal{K}_{X,f(y)}$.

(2) We say that the morphism of formal orbifolds $f : (Y, Q) \to (X, P)$ is étale at y if $Q(y) = P(f(y))$. And we say it is étale if it is étale for all closed point $y \in Y$.

(3) A finite cover $f : Y \to X$ is said to be an essentially étale cover of $\mathcal{X} = (X, P)$ if for any closed point $y \in Y$ the field $\mathcal{K}_{Y,y}$ viewed as an extension of $\mathcal{K}_{X,f(y)}$ is contained in $P(f(y))$.

Remark 2.7. A finite cover $Y \to X$ is an essentially étale cover of $\mathcal{X}$ then its Galois closure $\tilde{Y} \to X$ is also an essentially étale cover of $\mathcal{X}$.

Remark 2.8. Let P, P' be two branch data on X. The identity id_X defines a morphism of formal orbifolds $(X, P) \to (X, P')$ iff $P \geq P'$. And the morphism is étale iff $P = P'$.

Proposition 2.9. *If the* $\mathrm{char}(k)$ *is 0 then the above definitions of formal orbifold and essentially étale cover agree with the definitions of parabolic curve and parabolic cover respectively in* [Par].

Proof. If $\mathrm{char}(k) = 0$ then by Newton's theorem every finite extension $L/k((t))$ is a cyclic extension of the order same as the degree of the extension and conversely every positive integer n defines a unique cyclic extension of order n. Hence fixing field extensions at various points of X is equivalent to fixing non-negative integers. The definition of essentially étale covers also agree with parabolic covers because $L'/k((t))$ is an intermediate extension iff $[L' : k((t))]$ divides $[L : k((t))]$. □

Proposition 2.10. *Let $Y \to X$ and $Z \to X$ be essentially étale covers of $\mathcal{X} = (X, P)$. Then the normalization of the fiber product $\widetilde{Y \times_X Z} \to X$ is an essentially étale cover of $\mathcal{X}$.*

Proof. We may assume $Y \to X$ and $Z \to X$ are Galois. Let W be any component of $\widetilde{Y \times_X Z}$. Let $\tau = (y, z) \in W$ be a point lying above x. Then $y \in Y$ and $z \in Z$ lie above x. It follows from [Kum, Theorem 3.4] that $\mathcal{K}_{W,\tau} \subset P(x)$. □

Corollary 2.11. *The set of essentially étale covers of a formal orbifold $\mathcal{X}$ form an inverse system.*

Proof. Proposition 2.10 implies that the underlying partially ordered set is a directed set. □

Lemma 2.12. *Let (X, P) be a formal orbifold and $f : Y \to X$ be a covering. Then for any branch data Q on Y, f defines a morphism of formal orbifolds $f : (Y, Q) \to (X, P)$ iff $Q \geq f^*P$. Moreover, $f : (Y, f^*P) \to (X, P)$ is an étale morphism of formal orbifolds iff $f : Y \to X$ is a essentially étale cover of (X, P).*

Proof. Note that $(f^*P)(y) = P(f(y))\mathcal{K}_{Y,y}$. So for a branch data Q on Y and $y \in Y$, $Q(y) \supset P(f(y))$ iff $Q(y) \supset P(f(y))\mathcal{K}_{Y,y} = (f^*P)(y)$. Hence $f : (Y, Q) \to (X, P)$ is a morphism iff $Q \geq f^*P$.

Moreover $f : (Y, f^*P) \to (X, P)$ is an étale morphism iff $(f^*P)(y) = P(f(y))$ for all $y \in Y$, i.e. $\mathcal{K}_{Y,y} \subset P(f(y))$ for all $y \in Y$. But this is the definition of $f : Y \to X$ to be essentially étale cover of (X, P). □

Corollary 2.13. *Let $f : Y \to X$ be a finite Galois cover of smooth projective curves. Then $f : (Y, O) \to (X, B_f)$ is an étale morphism of formal orbifolds.*

Proof. Let $x \in X$ be a closed point and $y \in f^{-1}(x)$. Since $f : Y \to X$ is a Galois cover, the extension $\mathcal{K}_{Y,y}/\mathcal{K}_{X,x}$ is a Galois extension which is independent of the choice of $y \in f^{-1}(x)$. Hence $B_f(x) = \mathcal{K}_{Y,y}$. By definition $f : Y \to X$ is an essentially étale cover of (X, B_f) and f^*B_f is the trivial branch data. Hence by above lemma $f : (Y, O) \to (X, B_f)$ is étale. □

The next proposition shows that the fiber products of formal orbifolds exist. Note that here by fibre product we mean the normalized fibre product. In fact it is easy to check that normalized fibre product satisfies the universal property of the fibre product among orbifolds.

Proposition 2.14. *Let $f : (Y, Q) \to (X, P)$ and $g : (Z, R) \to (X, P)$ be morphism of formal orbifolds. Let W be the normalization of $Y \times_X Z$ and $F(w) = Q(y)R(z)/\mathcal{K}_{W,w}$ where $w = (y, z) \in W$. Then (W, F) is the fiber product in the category of formal orbifolds.*

Proof. Note that $\mathcal{K}_{Y,y}\mathcal{K}_{Z,z} = \mathcal{K}_{W,w}$ as extension of $\mathcal{K}_{X,x}$ by [Kum, Theorem 3.4]. The compositum $Q(y)R(z)$ can be taken in any fixed algebraic closure of $\mathcal{K}_{W,w}$. As $Q(y)/\mathcal{K}_{Y,y}$ and $R(z)/\mathcal{K}_{Z,z}$ are Galois $F(w)/\mathcal{K}_{W,w}$ is also Galois and the hence the extension is independent of the choice of the algebraic closure.

One easily checks that projection maps are morphisms of formal orbifolds. Finally, (W, F) satisfies the universal property for fiber product follows from the universal property of the usual fiber product and the universal property the normalization. □

Example 2.15. Let X be a smooth projective curve and P, P' be branch data on X. Let B be any branch data on X such that $B \leq P \cap P'$. Then $(X, P) \times_{(X,B)} (X, P') = (X, PP')$.

Proposition 2.16. *Pullback of étale morphism of formal orbifolds is étale.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$ be an étale morphism and $\mathcal{Z} \to \mathcal{X}$ be a morphism of formal orbifolds. Let $\mathcal{W}$ be the fiber product and $\mathcal{W} \to \mathcal{Z}$ be the projection map. The result now follows from Lemma 2.12 and the definition of the branch data on $\mathcal{W}$ as described in Proposition 2.14. □

2.1. **Hurwitz formula.** We will define the genus of the formal orbifold (X, P) using the noion of different ([Ser]) and obtain a Riemann-Hurwitz formula.

Let $L/k((t))$ be a finite extension. Let $\mathcal{D}(L/k((t)))$ be the different of the extension $L/k((t))$ and let $\mathrm{dr}(L/k((t))) = v_L(\mathcal{D}(L/k((t))))$ where v_L is the valuation of L (with the value of the uniformizer of L being 1). For a Galois extension $L/k((t))$

with Galois group G, let $G = G_0 \geq G_1 \geq G_2 \ldots$ be the lower ramification filtration. Recall that by Hilbert's different formula ([Ser, Chapter 4, §, Proposition 4])

$$\mathrm{dr}(L/k((t))) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(|G_i| - 1)$$

Definition 2.17. For a formal orbifold (X, P) define its genus to be

$$g(X, P) = g(X) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{x \in \mathrm{Supp}(P)} \frac{\mathrm{dr}(P(x)/\mathcal{K}_{X,x})}{[P(x) : \mathcal{K}_{X,x}]}$$

Note that if O is the trivial branch data on X then $g(X, O) = g(X)$.

Definition 2.18. Let $f : (Y, Q) \to (X, P)$ be a morphism of formal orbifolds. For $y \in Y$ let $R(y)$ be the field extension $Q(y)/P(f(y))$ (by definition of morphism of formal orbifolds $Q(y) \supset P(f(y))$). The function R is called the ramification data of f. Note that f is étale at $y \in Y$ iff $R(y)$ is the trivial extension. The ramification divisor of f is given by $D = \sum_{y \in Y} \frac{\mathrm{dr}(Q(y)/P(f(y)))}{[Q(y):\mathcal{K}_{Y,y}]} y$. Note that D is a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor.

Lemma 2.19. *Let $K \subset L \subset M$ be finite extension of local fields and v_K, v_L and v_M denote their valuations. Then* $\mathrm{dr}(M/K) = \mathrm{dr}(M/L) + \mathrm{dr}(L/K)[M : L]$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{D}(M/K)$ be the different of the local field extension. By [Ser, Chapter III, §4, Proposition 8] $\mathcal{D}(M/K) = \mathcal{D}(M/L)\mathcal{D}(L/K)$. Now the formula follows from the definition of $\mathrm{dr}(M/K) = v_M(\mathcal{D}(M/K))$ and the fact that $v_M(a) = v_L(a)[M : L]$ for $a \in L$. □

Theorem 2.20. *(Riemann-Hurwitz Formula for formal orbifolds) Let $f : (Y, Q) \to (X, P)$ be a morphism of formal orbifolds of degree d. Then $2g(Y, Q) - 2 = d(2g(X, P) - 1) + deg(D)$ where D is the ramification divisor of f.*

Proof. This essentially follows from the classical Riemann-Hurwitz formula and the above lemma. By Riemann-Hurwitz formula, $2g(Y) - 2 - d(2g(X) - 2) = \sum_{y \in Y} \mathrm{dr}(\mathcal{K}_{Y,y}/\mathcal{K}_{X,f(y)})$. So it is enough to show that

$$\sum_{y \in Y}\left(\mathrm{dr}(\mathcal{K}_{Y,y}/\mathcal{K}_{X,f(y)}) + \frac{\mathrm{dr}(Q(y)/\mathcal{K}_{Y,y})}{[Q(y) : \mathcal{K}_{Y,y}]}\right) = d\sum_{x \in X}\frac{\mathrm{dr}(P(x)/\mathcal{K}_{X,x})}{[P(x) : \mathcal{K}_{X,x}]} + \deg(D)$$

But by the above lemma,

$$\begin{aligned} LHS &= \sum_{y \in Y}\frac{\mathrm{dr}(\mathcal{K}_{Y,y}/\mathcal{K}_{X,f(y)})[Q(y) : \mathcal{K}_{Y,y}] + \mathrm{dr}(Q(y)/\mathcal{K}_{Y,y})}{[Q(y) : \mathcal{K}_{Y,y}]} \\ &= \sum_{y \in Y}\frac{\mathrm{dr}(Q(y)/\mathcal{K}_{X,f(y)})}{[Q(y) : \mathcal{K}_{Y,y}]} \end{aligned}$$

Also since there is no residue field extension, for any $x \in X$ we have the formula $d = \sum_{y \in Y; f(y)=x} e_y$, where e_y is the ramification index of f at y. Also note that

$e_y = [\mathcal{K}_{Y,y} : \mathcal{K}_{X,f(y)}]$.

$$\begin{aligned}
RHS &= \sum_{x\in X} d\frac{\mathrm{dr}(P(x)/\mathcal{K}_{X,x})}{[P(x):\mathcal{K}_{X,x}]} + \sum_{y\in Y}\frac{\mathrm{dr}(Q(y)/P(f(y)))}{[Q(y):\mathcal{K}_{Y,y}]} \\
&= \sum_{x\in X}\left(\sum_{y\in Y; f(y)=x} e_y\right)\frac{\mathrm{dr}(P(x)/\mathcal{K}_{X,x})}{[P(x):\mathcal{K}_{X,x}]} + \sum_{y\in Y}\frac{\mathrm{dr}(Q(y)/P(f(y)))}{[Q(y):\mathcal{K}_{Y,y}]} \\
&= \sum_{y\in Y}[\mathcal{K}_{Y,y}:\mathcal{K}_{X,f(y)}]\frac{\mathrm{dr}(P(f(y))/\mathcal{K}_{X,f(y)})}{[P(f(y)):\mathcal{K}_{X,f(y)}]} + \sum_{y\in Y}\frac{\mathrm{dr}(Q(y)/P(f(y)))}{[Q(y):\mathcal{K}_{Y,y}]} \\
&= \sum_{y\in Y}\frac{[Q(y):P(f(y))]\,\mathrm{dr}(P(f(y))/\mathcal{K}_{X,f(y)})}{[Q(y):\mathcal{K}_{Y,y}]} + \sum_{y\in Y}\frac{\mathrm{dr}(Q(y)/P(f(y)))}{[Q(y):\mathcal{K}_{Y,y}]} \\
&= \sum_{y\in Y}\frac{[Q(y):P(f(y))]\,\mathrm{dr}(P(f(y))/\mathcal{K}_{X,f(y)}) + \mathrm{dr}(Q(y)/P(f(y)))}{[Q(y):\mathcal{K}_{Y,y}]} \\
&= \sum_{y\in Y}\frac{\mathrm{dr}(Q(y)/\mathcal{K}_{X,f(y)})}{[Q(y):\mathcal{K}_{Y,y}]}]
\end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 2.21. Here we consider morphisms of formal orbifolds which may be ramified (which were not allowed in [Par]). Hence the Riemann-Hurwitz formula has the ramification term as well. The formula in [Par] is a special case of the above theorem.

Recall that if $f : Y \to X$ is G-Galois cover of curves then the degree of the ramification divisor has a nice formula in terms of the ramification filtration on the Inertia group (Hilbert's different formula). And this leads to a more explicit Riemann-Hurwitz's formula.

Theorem 2.22. *(Riemann-Hurwitz, Hilbert) Let $f : Y \to X$ be a G-Galois cover branched at $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in X$. For $1 \le j \le n$, let I^j be the inertia groups at a point $y_j \in Y$ lying above x_j and let I^j_i $i \ge 0$ be the lower ramification filtration on I^j. Then*

$$2g(Y) - 2 = |G|(2g(X) - 2) + \sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{|G|}{|I^j|}\left(\sum_{i\ge 0}(|I^j_i| - 1)\right)$$

There is a variant of the above statement as well for which we need to define Galois covers of formal orbifolds.

Definition 2.23. Let $f : (Y, Q) \to (X, P)$ be a morphism of formal orbifolds. It is called a G-Galois cover for a finite group G if $f : Y \to X$ is a G-Galois cover, $Q(y)/P(f(y))$ is a Galois extension for all $y \in Y$ and for all $g \in G$, $y \in Y$, the extension $Q(y)/\mathcal{K}_{X,f(y)}$ is isomorphic to $Q(gy)/\mathcal{K}_{X,f(y)}$.

Theorem 2.24. *Let $f : (Y, Q) \to (X, P)$ be a G-Galois cover branched at $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in X$. For $1 \le j \le n$, let I^j be the inertia groups at a point $y_j \in Y$ lying above x_j i.e. $I^j = Gal(Q(y_j)/P(x_j))$ and let I^j_i $i \ge 0$ be the lower ramification filtration on I^j.*

Then

$$2g(Y,Q)-2=|G|(2g(X,P)-2)+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{|G|}{|I^j|[Q(y_j):\mathcal{K}_{Y,y_j}]}\left(\sum_{i\geq 0}(|I_i^j|-1)\right)$$

Proof. By Hilbert's different formula we have $\mathrm{dr}(Q(y_j)/P(x_j))=\sum_{i\geq 0}(|I_i^j|-1)$. The result now follows from Theorem 2.20. □

2.2. Étale fundamental group.

Definition 2.25. Let $\mathcal{X}=(X,P)$ be a connected formal orbifold. Let x be a geometric point of X such that its image in X is not in $\mathrm{Supp}(P)$. Let $Cov(\mathcal{X})$ be the category of finite étale covers of $\mathcal{X}$. Let F_x be the functor from $Cov(\mathcal{X})$ to the category of sets given by $F_x(Y,Q)=\mathrm{Hom}_X(x,Y)$ for $[(Y,Q)\to\mathcal{X}]\in Cov(\mathcal{X})$. Note that by Corollary 2.11 and Lemma 2.12, étale covers of $\mathcal{X}$ form an inverse system. As in the case of étale covers of scheme, this functor F_x is also pro-representable by the inverse limit $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$ of the inverse system $\{(Y_i,Q_i)\in Cov(\mathcal{X})\}_{i\in I}$ of connected étale covers of $\mathcal{X}$. One fixes a point $\tilde{x}\in\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$ lying above $x\in\mathcal{X}$ (this is equivalent to choosing a point in Y_i over x for all $i\in I$). Then for any (Y,Q) in $cov(\mathcal{X})$, the elements of $F_x(Y,Q)$ is an element of $\mathrm{Hom}_X(\tilde{\mathcal{X}},Y)$ composed with $\tilde{x}$. Define the étale fundamental group of $\mathcal{X}$ to be $Aut(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}/X)$ which is same as $\varprojlim_{i\in I}Aut(Y_i/X)$ over all connected étale covers $(Y,Q)\to\mathcal{X}$. This group will be denoted by $\pi_1(\mathcal{X})$.

By Lemma 2.12, the étale fundamental group of $\mathcal{X}$ is also $\varprojlim_{i\in I}Aut(Y_i/\mathcal{X})$ where $Y_i\to\mathcal{X}$ are connected essentially étale covers of $\mathcal{X}$. Note that every essentially étale cover is dominated by a Galois essentially étale cover, hence the inverse limit may be taken over only Galois essentially étale covers.

Proposition 2.26. *Let $f:\mathcal{Y}\to\mathcal{X}$ be a morphism of formal orbifolds. Then f induces a homomorphism of fundamental group $\pi_1(f):\pi_1(\mathcal{Y})\to\pi_1(\mathcal{X})$. Also π_1 is functor. Moreover if $f:\mathcal{Y}\to\mathcal{X}$ is étale then $\pi_1(f)$ is injective.*

Proof. If $\mathcal{X}_1\to\mathcal{X}$ is a connected G-Galois étale cover then its pullback along $\mathcal{Y}\to\mathcal{X}$ is also étale by Proposition 2.16. By Galois theory, the morphism from a connected component $\mathcal{Y}_1$ of $\mathcal{Y}\times_{\mathcal{X}}\mathcal{X}_1$ to $\mathcal{Y}$ is also Galois étale cover with Galois group a subgroup of G. Hence there is a natural injective map

$$i:\Pi=\varprojlim Aut(\mathcal{Y}_1/\mathcal{Y})\to\varprojlim Aut(\mathcal{X}_1/\mathcal{X})$$

where the inverse limit is over all étale Galois covers $\mathcal{X}_1\to\mathcal{X}$. As every $\mathcal{Y}_1\to\mathcal{Y}$ corresponding to $\mathcal{X}_1\to\mathcal{X}$ is a Galois étale cover of $\mathcal{Y}$, the inverse system on the left is a sub-inverse system of all connected Galois étale covers of $\mathcal{Y}$. Hence there is a natural group homomorphism from $q:\pi_1(\mathcal{Y})\to\Pi$. Define the map $\pi_1(f)$ to be $i\circ q$. The functoriality of π_1 follows from the functoriality of pull-backs.

Finally if $f:\mathcal{Y}\to\mathcal{X}$ is étale then the two inverse system of étale covers of $\mathcal{Y}$ are the same so q is an isomorphism. Hence $\pi_1(f)$ is injective. □

Remark 2.27. For a smooth connected projective curve X, $\pi_1^{et}(X)=\pi_1(X,O)$ where O is the trivial branch data.

Definition 2.28. We say that a formal orbifold (X,P) is *geometric* if there exist a connected étale cover $(Y,O)\to(X,P)$ where O is the trivial branch data on Y. And in this scenario P is called a geometric branch data on X.

Note that if $f : Y \to X$ is a morphism of smooth projective curves then (X, B_f) is a geometric formal orbifold. This is because if $\tilde{f} : \tilde{Y} \to X$ is the Galois closure of f then $B_f = B_{\tilde{f}}$. But Corollary 2.13 states that $(X, B_{\tilde{f}})$ is a geometric formal orbifold.

Proposition 2.29. *If (X, Q_1) and (X, Q_2) are geometric formal orbifolds then so is (X, Q_1Q_2).*

Proof. It follows from Proposition 2.14, Proposition 2.16 and the observation that composition of étale morphisms of formal orbifolds are étale. □

Proposition 2.30. *Let (X, P) be a formal orbifold. There exist $Q \leq P$ a (unique) branch data on X such that (X, Q) is a geometric formal orbifold curve and Q is maximal with these properties. The natural homomorphism $\pi_1(X, P) \to \pi_1(X, Q)$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. For a closed point $x \in X$, let $Q(x)$ be the compositum of $B_f(x)$ for all $f : Y \to X$ which are essentially étale covers of (X, P). Note that $B_f \leq P$ for all such f. Note that $\mathrm{Supp}(P)$ is finite and $P(x)/\mathcal{K}_{X,x}$ is a finite extension for all $x \in X$. So there exist finitely many essentially étale covers $f_i : Y_i \to X$, say $1 \leq i \leq N$ such that $Q = B_{f_1}B_{f_2} \dots B_{f_N}$.

Note that by Proposition 2.29 if (X, Q_1) and (X, Q_2) are geometric formal orbifolds then so is (X, Q_1Q_2). Also if $Q_1 \leq P$ and $Q_2 \leq P$ then so is Q_1Q_2. So $Q \leq P$ and (X, Q) is a geometric formal orbifold. Also by definition of Q, if (X, Q') is a geometric formal orbifold and $Q' \leq P$ then $Q' \leq Q$. Hence Q is maximal.

Let $f : Y \to (X, P)$ be an essentially étale cover. Then $B_f \leq P$ and (X, B_f) is a geometric formal orbifold. Hence $B_f \leq Q$ which means $f : Y \to (X, Q)$ is also an essentially étale cover. Also every essentially étale cover of (X, Q) is trivially essentially étale cover of (X, P) as $P \geq Q$. Hence id_X induces an isomorphism of $\pi_1(X, P)$ and $\pi_1(X, Q)$. □

Given a finite G-Galois extension $L/k((t))$ with tame ramification of degree, a Harbater-Katz-Gabber cover (henceforth called HKG-cover) of $\mathbb{P}^1$ associated to it is a G-Galois cover $f : X \to \mathbb{P}^1$ ramified at only two points x_0 and x_∞ in X where $f(x_0) = 0$ and $f(x_\infty) = \infty$, f is tamely ramified at 0 and the local extension $\mathcal{K}_{X,x_\infty}/k((t))$ induced by f is same as $L/k((t))$.

The existence of HKG-cover for every finite Galois extension of $k((t))$ ([Harb, Cor 2.4], [Kat, Theorem 1.4.1]) is equivalent to the following statement.

Theorem 2.31. *Let Q be a branch data on $\mathbb{P}^1$ such that $\mathrm{Supp}\, Q = \{0, \infty\}$ and $Q(0)$ is tame and the tame degree of $Q(\infty)/\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{P}^1,\infty}$ is same as $[Q(0) : \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{P}^1,0}]$. Then $(\mathbb{P}^1, Q)$ is a geometric formal orbifold.*

Corollary 2.32. *Let Q be a branch data on $\mathbb{P}^1$ such that $\mathrm{Supp}(Q) = \{x_0, \dots, x_m\}$. Let the tame part of $\mathrm{Gal}(Q(x_i)/\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{P}^1,x_i})$ be degree n_i. Suppose that $n_{i_0} = \max\{n_i : 0 \leq i \leq m\} = \mathrm{lcm}\{n_i : 0 \leq i \leq m, i \neq i_0\}$. Then $(\mathbb{P}^1, Q)$ is geometric if the p-sylow subgroup of $Q(x_{i_0})$ is trivial or there exist $i_1 \neq i_0$ such that $n_{i_1} = n_{i_0}$.*

Proof. We may assume $i_0 = 0$. For $i \geq 1$ let P_i be the branch data supported at x_0 and x_i such that $P_i(x_i) = Q(x_i)$ and $P_i(x_0)$ the tame cyclic extension of degree n_i. Note that $n_0 = \mathrm{lcm}\{n_i : 1 \leq i \leq m\}$. If $Q(x_0)$ is tame of degree n_0 then, it follows that $Q = P_1P_2 \dots P_m$. If there exist i_1 such that $n_{i_1} = n_0$ then let P_0 be

the branch data supported on x_0 and x_{i_0} with $P_0(x_0) = Q(x_0)$ and $P_0(x_{i_0})$ be the tame cyclic extension of degree n_0. In this case $Q = P_0P_1 \dots P_m$.

Now the result follows from Proposition 2.29 and Theorem 2.31. □

Corollary 2.33. *Every purely wild branch data on a smooth projective curve is geometric.*

Proof. Let X be a smooth projective curve and $x \in X$. Then there is a constant d_0 such that for all $d > d_0$, the linear system $\mathbb{P}^N \cong |dx|$ is very ample. Let H_x be the hyperplane defined by $dx = 0$ in the linear system. Then $H_x \cap X = \{x\}$. Let $H \subset H_x$ be a hyperpalne (hence codimension 2 in the linear system) such that $x \notin H$. This can be done since the base field is infinite amd most hyperplanes in H_x will not pass through x. Let f be the projection $\mathbb{P}^N - H \to \mathbb{P}^1$. Then f will restrict to a proper and hence finite morphism from $X \setminus \{x\} \to \mathbb{A}^1$ of degree d.

Let P be a purely wild branch data on X supported only at x. Note that $P(x)/k((t^{-1}))$ is a Galois extension with Galois group G a p-group where we identify $\mathcal{K}_{X,x}$ with $k((t^{-1}))$. Also we may choose d to be coprime to p so that the extension $\mathcal{K}_{X,x}/k((t^{-1}))$ coming from f is linearly disjoint w.r.t. the p-group Galois extension $P(x)/k((t^{-1}))$.

Let $Y \to \mathbb{P}^1$ be the HKG-cover associated to the local extension $P(x)/k((t^{-1}))$. Then $Y \to \mathbb{P}^1$ is totally ramified at ∞ and étale elsewhere. The pull-back of $Y \to \mathbb{P}^1$ along f, gives a cover $Y_X \to X$ which is totally ramified at y lying above x and étale elsewhere. Moreover the extension $\mathcal{K}_{Y_X,y}/\mathcal{K}_{X,x}$ is same as $P(x)/k((t^{-1}))$ because $P(x)/k((t^{-1}))$ and $\mathcal{K}_{X,x}/k((t^{-1}))$ are linearly disjoint. Hence P is a geometric branch data on X. Now the result follows from Proposition 2.29. □

Question 2.34. *Which formal orbifolds are geometric formal orbifolds?*

Example 2.35. Let Q be a nontrivial tame branch data on $\mathbb{P}^1$ with support on a single point. Then $(\mathbb{P}^1, Q)$ is indeed not geometric since the fundamental group of this formal orbifold is trivial.

Theorem 2.36. *The formal orbifold (X, P) with P tame is geometric iff X is different from $\mathbb{P}^1$ or $X = \mathbb{P}^1$ and $|\operatorname{Supp}(P)| > 2$ or $X = \mathbb{P}^1$ and $|\operatorname{Supp}(P)| = 2$ with same branch data on the two points.*

Proof. In characteristic zero the result is a consequence of work of S. Bundagaard, J. Nielsen ([BN]), R.H. Fox ([Fox]) and T.C. Chau ([Cha]). Now use Grothendieck lifting ([SGA1, Corollary 2.12, XIII, page 392]) to obtain the result in characteristic p. □

Proposition 2.37. *Every formal orbifold is dominated by a geometric formal orbifold, i.e. given a formal orbifold $\mathcal{X}$ there exist a morphism of formal orbifolds $\mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$ such that $\mathcal{Y}$ is a geometric formal orbifold.*

Proof. Let (X, P) be a formal orbifold. Since P has finite support, in view of Proposition 2.29, we may assume P is supported at only one point $x \in X$. Then $k(X) \subset \mathcal{K}_{X,x}$ and $P(x)/\mathcal{K}_{X,x}$ is a finite extension. There exist a finite extension $L/k(X)$ with $L \subset P(x)$ such that $L\mathcal{K}_{X,x} = P(x)$. Let Y be the normalization of X in L. Then $f : Y \to X$ is finite cover of projective curves and $B_f(x)$ clearly contains $P(x)$. Hence the orbifold (X, B_f) dominates (X, P). □

Theorem 2.38. *Let X be a smooth projective connected curve. Let $P \geq P'$ be branch data on X. Then there is a natural epimorphism $\pi_1(X,P) \to \pi_1(X,P')$ induced from the id_X (which by Remark 2.8 is a morphism of formal orbifolds).*

Proof. Every essentially étale cover of (X,P') is also an essentially étale cover of (X,P). Hence the inverse system of connected essentially étale covers of (X,P') is a sub-inverse system of connected essentially étale covers of (X,P). Hence the natural map $\pi_1(X,P) \to \pi_1(X,P')$ is an epimorphism. □

Corollary 2.39. *The étale fundamental group of a connected formal orbifold is of finite rank.*

Proof. Note that $\pi_1^{et}(Y)$ is of finite rank for a connected smooth projective curve Y ([SGA1, Theorem 3.8, X, page 283]). By Proposition 2.30, we may assume that the formal orbifold (X,P) is geometric. Hence there exist a finite étale Galois cover $(Y,O) \to (X,P)$. Now the result follows from Proposition 2.26 and Remark 2.27. □

Theorem 2.40. *Let C be a smooth affine connected curve and X its smooth completion and $S = X \setminus C$. Then $\pi_1^{et}(C) = \varprojlim \pi_1(X,P)$ where P runs over all branch data on X with* $\mathrm{Supp}(P) \subset S$.

Proof. Every étale cover of $D \to C$ extends to a essentially étale cover $Y \to (X,P)$ for some branch data P on X with $\mathrm{Supp}(P) \subset S$ where Y is the smooth completion of D. □

Remark 2.41. The inverse limit in the above may be taken over P such that $\mathrm{Supp}(P) = S$, since this will be cofinal to all P with $\mathrm{Supp}(P) \subset S$.

Let $f : (Y,Q) \to (X,P)$ be a morphism of formal orbifolds. An intermediate cover $(Z,R) \to (X,P)$ is said to be *maximal étale subcover* of $(Y,Q) \to (X,P)$ if $(Z,R) \to (X,P)$ is étale and for any other $(Z',R') \to (X,P)$ intermediate étale subcover of $(Y,O) \to (X,P)$, the cover $(Z',R') \to (X,P)$ is an intermediate subcover of $(Z,R) \to (X,P)$. In other words the morphism $Z \to X$ factors through $Z' \to X$.

Proposition 2.42. *Let $f : (Y,Q) \to (X,P)$ be a morphism of formal orbifolds. Let $H = \mathrm{Im}[\pi_1(f) : \pi_1(Y,Q) \to \pi_1(X,P)]$. Then H is a finite index open subgroup of $\pi_1(X,P)$. Let L be the extension of $k(X)$ corresponding to H (i.e. $L = [k(X)^{P,un}]^H$ where $k(X)^{P,un}$ is the compositum of $k(W)$'s where (W,B) vary over all finite étale covers of (X,P)). Let $g : Z \to X$ be the normalization of X in L and $R = g^*P$ then (Z,R) is the maximal étale cover of (X,P).*

Proof. By construction note that $g : Z \to X$ is an essentially étale cover of (X,P). Hence by Lemma 2.12, $(Z,R) \to (X,P)$ is étale. Moreover it is an intermediate cover of $(Y,Q) \to (X,P)$. So enough to show that it is maximal and again by Lemma 2.12 it is enough to show that if $h : Z' \to X$ is an essentially étale cover of (X,P) dominated by Y then Z dominates Z'.

Let $R' = h^*P$ be the branch data on Z'. Then we have morphisms $(Y,Q) \to^p (Z',R') \to^h (X,P)$ with $h \circ p = f$. By functoriality of π_1, $\pi_1(f) = \pi_1(h) \circ \pi_1(p)$. Hence $\mathrm{im}(\pi_1(h)) \supset \mathrm{im}(\pi_1(f)) = H$. Hence by Galois theory Z dominates Z'. □

2.3. **Anabelian type conjecture.** In [Tam, Theorem 8.6] it was shown that if C is a smooth curve different from an ordinary elliptic curve over $k = \bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$. Then there are only finitely many smooth curves X such that $\pi_1(X) \cong \pi_1(C)$. This result generalizes to geometric formal orbifold setup as well.

Theorem 2.43. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a geometric formal orbifold over $k = \bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$ such that the underlying curve has genus at least 2. Then there are only finitely many smooth projective curve X such that $\pi_1(X) \cong \pi_1(\mathcal{C})$.*

Proof. Let X be a smooth projective curve such that $\phi : \pi_1(\mathcal{C}) \to \pi_1(X)$ is an isomorphism. There exist Galois étale morphism $(D, O) \to \mathcal{C}$. Then $\pi_1(D)$ is a finite index normal subgroups of $\pi_1(\mathcal{C})$. Let $N = \phi(\pi_1(D))$ and Y be the étale cover of X such that $\pi_1(Y) = N$. Note that N and $\pi_1(D)$ are isomorphic and by Riemann-Hurwitz formula genus of D is at least 2. So there are only finitely many possibilities for Y by Tamagawa's result ([Tam, Theorem 8.6]). Let $G = \pi_1(X)/N$ then Y is a G-cover of X. Now using Theorem of de Franchis ([Kan]), for each Y there are only finitely many smooth curves Z and coverings $Y \to Z$ of degree $|G|$. Hence there are only finitely many possibilities for X. □

3. Orbifold bundles

Given a curve X over a characteristic zero field and a vector bundle V on X one associates a flag structure on V_x for finitely many points $x \in X$. Further one also assigns real weights $0 < \alpha_1 < \ldots < \alpha_r \leq 1$ at this flag of length r on V_x. The vector bundle V together this data is called a parabolic bundle on X. In the terminology of [Par], when the weights $\alpha_i = p_i/q_i$ are rational numbers, then V can be thought of as a *vector bundle on the parabolic curve* (X, P) where $P(x)$ is a cyclic extension of degree $\mathrm{lcm}\{q_i\}$. Note that in characteristic zero the field extension is purely determined by the extension degree. Hence $P(x)$ may be thought of as a number rather than a field extension.

Given a geometric parabolic curve (X, P) there exist a Galois etale cover of parabolic curves $f : (Y, O) \to (X, P)$ with Galois group Γ. Then it is known that the category of parabolic vector bundles on X with rational weights is equivalent to the category of orbifold bundles on X, i.e. vector bundles on Y together with a lift of Γ-action [Bis], [Par, Lemma 4.4] for any fixed Γ and Y. Such bundles are called Γ-*bundles* on Y. Morphisms between Γ-bundles is a Γ-equivariant morphism of vector bundles.

When the base field has characteristic $p > 0$, the analogous category of orbifold bundle on a smooth projective curve X can be defined. But it not yet clear that if V is vector bundle on X what extra data is required to make V into an analogue of a parabolic vector bundle with rational weights on the curve X.

We will define vector bundles on the geometric formal orbifold curve (X, P) to be a vector bundle on Y where $(Y, O) \to (X, P)$ is a Galois etale cover of the formal orbifold (X, P) with Galois group Γ. We will show that this category is independent of the chosen Galois cover (Y, O). These will be the building blocks for the category of orbifold bundles on X.

We begin by studying Γ-bundles on Y where $f : Y \to X$ is a Γ cover of smooth projective curves.

Definition 3.1. Let V be a vector bundle on Y. Let $\mu : \Gamma \times Y \to Y$ be the morphism induced from the action of Γ on Y and $p : \Gamma \times Y \to Y$ be the second

projection. Giving the structure of a Γ-bundle on V is equivalent to giving an isomorphism $\lambda : \mu^*V \to p^*V$ "compatible" with the Γ-action, i.e. for $g \in \Gamma$, if λ_g denote $\lambda|_{g\times Y} : g^*V \to V$ then for all $g, h \in \Gamma$

$$\lambda_{gh} = \lambda_h \circ h^*\lambda_g. \tag{3.1}$$

Moreover let W be another Γ-bundle and $\phi : V \to W$ be a morphism of of vector bundles. Then ϕ is a morphism of Γ bundles if the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mu^*V & \longrightarrow & p^*V \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mu^*\phi} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p^*\phi} \\ \mu^*W & \longrightarrow & p^*W \end{array}$$

Lemma 3.2. *Let V be an vector bundle on X and $f : Y \to X$ be a Galois cover of smooth projective curves with Galois group Γ. Then f^*V is naturally a Γ-bundle on Y. In fact the action on f^*V can be identified as the natural action of Γ on $\mathcal{O}_Y$ together with the isomorphism $f^*V \cong f^{-1}(V) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y$. i.e., $\gamma \cdot (v, f) = (v, \gamma \cdot f)$ for $v \in f^{-1}(V)$ and $f \in \mathcal{O}_Y$.*

Proof. Let μ and p be the action and projection map from $\Gamma \times Y \to Y$. Then $f \circ \mu = f \circ p$. Hence by functoriality of pull-backs, we have $\mu^* f^*V \cong p^* f^*V$ and the isomorphism is compatible with the Γ-action. □

In fact this is true even if we have towers of Galois covers.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $f : Z \to Y$ and $b : Y \to X$ be H-Galois and G-Galois covers respectively such that $b \circ f$ is a Γ-cover. Then for any G-bundle V on Y, f^*V has a natural Γ-bundle structure. Moreover, if $\phi : V \to W$ is a morphism of G-bundles then $f^*\phi$ is a morphism of Γ-bundles.*

Proof. First we note that H is a normal subgroup of Γ, $G = \Gamma/H$ and let $q : \Gamma \to G$ be the group epimorphism. Let μ and p (with appropriate subscript) denote the action and projection maps respectively. Since V is a G-bundle, there is an isomorphism $\lambda : \mu_G^*V \cong p_G^*V$ compatible with the G-action.

We have the following two cartesian diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Gamma \times Z & \xrightarrow{\tilde{q}} & G \times Z & \xrightarrow{\tilde{p}_G} & Z \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \beta} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} \\ \Gamma \times Y & \xrightarrow[q]{} & G \times Y & \xrightarrow[p_G]{} & Y \end{array} \tag{3.2}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Gamma \times Z & \xrightarrow{\tilde{q}} & G \times Z & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mu}_G} & Z \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \beta} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} \\ \Gamma \times Y & \xrightarrow[q]{} & G \times Y & \xrightarrow[\mu_G]{} & Y \end{array} \tag{3.3}$$

From (3.2) we obtain that $f \circ \tilde{p}_G \circ \tilde{q} = p_G \circ q \circ \beta$. Also note that $\tilde{p}_G \circ \tilde{q} = p_\Gamma$. Hence $f \circ p_\Gamma = p_G \circ q \circ \beta$.

Similarly from (3.3), we get $f \circ \tilde{\mu}_G \circ \tilde{q} = \mu_G \circ q \circ \beta$. Also since the action of Γ on Y factors through G, we have the following commutative diagram:

(3.4)
$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Gamma \times Z & & \xrightarrow{\quad\mu_\Gamma\quad} & & Z \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \beta} & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} \\ \Gamma \times Y & \xrightarrow[q]{} & G \times Y & \xrightarrow[\mu_G]{} & Y \end{array}$$

And this gives us $f \circ \mu_\Gamma = \mu_G \circ q \circ \beta$. The pull-back of λ along $q \circ \beta$ induces the required isomorphism

$$\mu_\Gamma^* f^* V \cong \beta^* q^* \mu_G^* V \xrightarrow{\sim} \beta^* q^* p_G^* V \cong p_\Gamma^* f^* V$$

.

The statement about pullback of morphisms also follow from the identities $f \circ p_\Gamma = p_G \circ q \circ \beta$ and $f \circ \mu_\Gamma = \mu_G \circ q \circ \beta$ and functoriality of pull-backs. □

Lemma 3.4. *Let $f : Y \to Y'$ be a G-Galois cover of smooth complete curves. Let V be a vector bundle on Y' and W be a G-bundle on Y. Then $(f_* f^* V)^G = V$. Further if f is étale, then $f^*[(f_* W)^G] = W$.*

Proof. First one notices that $\mathcal{O}_Y$ is a G-vector bundle on Y. This implies that G acts on the vector bundle $f_*\mathcal{O}_Y$ as automorphisms of vector bundles on Y'. Moreover the completion stalks of $f_*\mathcal{O}_Y$ at $x \in Y'$ can be identified as $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y',x} \otimes k[G]$ where the G action on this tensor product is given by the action on the second factor since G acts trivially on $\mathcal{O}_{Y'}$. Hence $\mathcal{O}_{Y'}$ is a G-invariant subspace of $f_*\mathcal{O}_Y$ and G acts faith fully on Y, it follows that $(f_*\mathcal{O}_Y)^G = \mathcal{O}_{Y'}$. Now if V is any vector bundle on Y', then by projection formula, we have $(f_* f^* V) = V \otimes f_* f^* \mathcal{O}_{Y'}$. Moreover the action of G on $V \otimes f_* f^* \mathcal{O}_{Y'}$ is by the action on the second factor and acting trivially on V as action on $f^{-1}(V)$ is trivial by Lemma 3.2. Hence the invariance is obtained by

$$(f_* f^* V)^G = V \otimes (f_* f^* \mathcal{O}_{Y'})^G \cong V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Y'} \cong V$$

For the second part, note that $f : Y \to Y'$ is a Γ-Galois étale morphism. The descent datum for locally free sheaves on Y is exactly the co-cycle condition (3.1) which makes a locally free sheaf into a Γ-bundle. Hence by étale descent any Γ-bundle W on Y descends to a vector bundle V on Y'. Now one can use the first part to see that this V is isomorphic to the invariant direct image $(f_* W)^G$. Hence it follows that $f^*[(f_* W)^G] \cong W$. □

Definition 3.5. Let (X, P) be a geometric formal orbifold curve. Fix an étale Galois cover $(Y, O) \to (X, P)$ with Galois group Γ. A *vector bundle on the geometric formal orbifold* (X, P) is a Γ-bundle on Y. Morphisms of vector bundles on (X, P) is a Γ-equivariant sheaf homomorphism between the corresponding Γ-bundles on Y. This category will be denoted by $Vect(X, P)$.

Proposition 3.6. *The category of vector bundles on (X, P) does not depend on the choice of the étale Galois cover.*

Proof. Let $(Y_i, O) \to (X, P)$ for $i = 1, 2$ be étale Galois covers with Galois group Γ_i. Let $(Y, O) \to (X, P)$ be an étale Galois cover with Galois group Γ which dominates Y_i's (for instance Y could be a connected component of the normalized fiber product). Hence the cover $f_i : Y \to Y_i$ is étale Galois with Galois group G_i where G_i is the kernel of the natural surjection $\Gamma \to \Gamma_i$.

We shall show that the category of Γ-bundles on Y is equivalent to the category of Γ_1-bundles on Y_1 (similarly it follows that it is equivalent to category of Γ_2-bundles on Y_2).

If V is a Γ_1-bundle on Y_1, then f^*V is a Γ-bundle on Y by Lemma 3.3. Since G_1 is a subgroup of Γ, given a Γ-bundle W on Y, it is also a G_1-bundle on Y and $V := [f_*W]^{G_1}$ is a vector bundle on Y_1 by étale Galois descent. The Γ-action on W induces a $\Gamma_1 = \Gamma/G_1$-action on V which is compatible with Γ_1-action on Y_1. Hence V is Γ_1-bundle on Y_1. Finally by Lemma 3.4 the two categories are equivalent. □

Theorem 3.7. *Let $P_1 \geq P_2$ be geometric branch data on X. There exists a functor $Vect(X, P_2) \to Vect(X, P_1)$ which is an embedding.*

Proof. Let $(Y_i, O) \to (X, P_i)$ be an étale Γ_i-cover. Let Y be a connected component of the normalization of $Y_1 \times_X Y_2$. As $P_1 \geq P_2$, $Y_2 \to X$ is an essentially étale cover of (X, P_1). Hence $(Y, O) \to (X, P_1)$ is an étale Galois cover with Galois group Γ which dominates Y_i. Let $G_i = ker(\Gamma \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma_i)$. Let $f_i : Y \to Y_i$ be the cover obtained from the projection maps. Then f_i are G_i-Galois cover and f_1 is étale. Hence the category of Γ-bundles on Y is equivalent to the category of G_1-bundles on Y_1 (by Proposition 3.6 and the two categories are equivalent to the category of vector bundles on the geometric formal orbifold (X, P_1). Let V be a Γ_2-bundle on Y_2 then f_2^*V is naturally a Γ-bundle on Y (Lemma 3.3) and the morphisms of Γ_2-bundles also pullback to morphisms of Γ-bundles on Y. Hence f_2^* is a functor from $Vect(X, P_2) \to Vect(X, P_1)$. This functor is an embedding follows from Lemma 3.4. □

Hence we may think of $Vect(X, P_2)$ as a (fully faithful) subcategory of $Vect(X, P_1)$.

Definition 3.8. An *orbifold bundle* on a smooth projective curve X is a vector bundle on (X, P) for some geometric branch data P on X. By the above theorem if $V \in Vect(X, P)$ then $V \in Vect(X, P')$ for all geometric $P' \geq P$. Let V and W be two orbifold bundles on X then $V \in Vect(X, P)$ and $W \in Vect(X, Q)$ for some geometric branch data P and Q. Then PQ is a geometric branch data on X and $V, W \in Vect(X, PQ)$. Morphisms from an orbifold bundle V to W are morphisms in the category $Vect(X, PQ)$. So we have a category of orbifold bundles on X which we will denote by $PVect(X)$.

Note that this category has direct sums, tensor products and duals.

Remark 3.9. Let $(Y, O) \to (X, P)$ and $(Y', O) \to (X, P)$ be étale covers with Galois group Γ and Γ' such that $Y \to (X, P)$ factors through Y' and $f : Y \to Y'$ be the factor morphism. Let V' be a Γ'-bundle on Y' and $V = f^*V'$ then $\deg(V) = |\Gamma/\Gamma'| \deg(V')$.

We define the *degree* of a vector bundle (Y, Γ, V) on a geometric formal orbifold (X, P) to be $\deg(V)/|\Gamma|$ and the orbifold slope par-$\mu(Y, \Gamma, V)$ as the degree divided by the rank of the bundle. Note that (Y, Γ, W) is a subbundle of (Y, Γ, V) iff W is a Γ-invariant subbundle of V. We say that a vector bundle on a geometric formal orbifold (X, P) is *semistable* bundle if it is represented by (Y, Γ, V) such that for any subbundle (Y, Γ, W), par-$\mu(Y, \Gamma, W) \leq$ par-$\mu(Y, \Gamma, V)$. If a bundle on (X, P) is represented by (Y, Γ, V), then we can define the Frobenius pull back of (Y, Γ, V) to be the bundle (Y, Γ, F_Y^*V) where F_Y denotes the Frobenius morphism of Y. Here we note that if V is a Γ bundle on Y, then so is $F_Y^{n*}V$ for all $n \geq 1$. We call

(Y, Γ, V) *strongly semistable* bundle on (X, P) if all its Frobenius pull backs are semistable.

Lemma 3.10. *A vector bundle (Y, Γ, V) on (X, P) is semistable (resp. strongly semistable) iff V is semistable (resp. strongly semistable) vector bundle on Y.*

Proof. Harder-Narshimshan filtration is unique, hence subbundles in the filtration of a Γ-bundle is Γ-invariant. □

3.1. **Tannakian category and fundamental groups.**

Theorem 3.11. *Let X be a smooth projective curve and x be any geometric point on X. For a geometric formal orbifold (X, P) the category of strongly semistable bundles of degree 0 on (X, P), denoted by $Vect^0(X, P)^{ss}$, together with the fiber functor associated to x is a neutral Tannakian category. The same is true for the subcategory $Nori(X, P)$ of essentially finite bundles and the subcategory $Etale(X, P)$ of bundles associated to finite étale covers.*

Proof. It is well known that strongly semistable vector bundles of degree 0 on a smooth projective connected curve form a neutral Tannakian category (cf. [BPS]). Now the theorem will follow by noting that if you have a Γ-morphism of Γ-bundles, then both kernel and cokernel are again Γ-bundle. The last staement also follows similarly since the kernel and cokernels exist in these subcategories. □

Remark 3.12. We denote the affine group scheme duals to the Tannakian categories $Vect^0(X, P)^{ss}$, $Nori(X, P)$ and $Etale(X, P)$ by $\mathcal{M}(X, P)$, $\mathcal{N}(X, P)$ and $\pi_1^{alg}(X, P)$ respectively. Like in the projective curve case $\pi_1^{alg}(X, P)$ is isomorphic to the étale fundamental group $\pi_1(X, P)$. Note that $\mathcal{N}(X, O)$ is the Nori fundamental group of X. Also note that $Vect^0(X, P)^{ss} \supset Nori(X, P) \supset Etale(X, P)$, so $\pi_1(X, P)$ is a homomorphic image of $\mathcal{N}(X, P)$ and $\mathcal{N}(X, P)$ is a quotient of $\mathcal{M}(X, P)$.

Let us denote by $PVect^0(X)^{ss}$, the category of strongly semistable orbifold bundles of degree 0 on X. Then we have:

Theorem 3.13. *$PVect^0(X)^{ss}$, together with the fiber functor associated to x is a neutral Tannakian category. If we denote the affine group scheme dual to this Tannakian category by $\mathcal{M}(X)$, then $\mathcal{M}(X, P)$ is a quotient of $\mathcal{M}(X)$ for all geometric branch data P on X.*

3.2. **Projection formula.** Let $f : (X_1, P_1) \to (X_2, P_2)$ be an étale cover. Let $(Y_2, O) \to (X_2, P_2)$ be a Galois étale cover, with Galois group Γ. Then define the direct image of vector bundles on the formal orbifold (X_1, P_1) as follows. Consider the normalization of the fiber product $Y_1 := \widetilde{X_1 \times_{X_2} Y_2}$. Then $Y_1 \to Y_2$ is an étale cover, though need not be connected. Moreover $(Y_1, O) \to (X_1, P_1)$ is an étale Galois morphism of formal orbifolds with Galois group Γ. Hence every vector bundle on (X_1, P_1) is represented by a Γ-bundle on Y_1. Then the usual direct image on Y_2 is clearly a Γ-bundle, hence defines a vector bundle on the geometric formal orbifold (X_2, P_2). We define this as the *direct image* of vector bundles in $Vect(X_1, P_1)$ and denote it by $\hat{f}_*$.

Consider the Galois étale cover $(Y_2, O) \to \mathcal{X}_2$ with Galois group Γ and the normalized fiber product Y_1 as above. Then $(Y_1, O) \to (X_1, P_1)$ is a Galois cover with Galois group Γ. The pull back under $Y_1 \to Y_2$ of a Γ bundle on Y_2 defines a

Γ bundle on Y_1, hence it defines a vector bundle on the geometric formal orbifold (X_1, P_1). We define this to be the pull back of vector bundles on geometric formal orbifold and denote it by $\hat{f}^*$.

Recall that the tensor product of two vector bundles on a geometric formal orbifold (X, P) is defined to be the usual tensor product of vector bundles on an étale Galois cover with trivial branch data where both bundles are represented. We denote it by $\hat{\otimes}$.

Now we can state our next result which is an analogue of the projection formula.

Theorem 3.14. *Let $f : (X_1, P_1) \to (X_2, P_2)$ be an étale cover. Assume $\mathcal{V}$ is a vector bundle on the geometric formal orbifold (X_2, P_2) and $\mathcal{F}$ is a vector bundle on the geometric formal orbifold (X_1, P_1). Then we have*

$$\hat{f}_*(\hat{f}^*V \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{F}) \cong V \hat{\otimes} \hat{f}_*(\mathcal{F})$$

Proof. Choose an étale Galois cover (Y_2, O) of (X_2, P_2) with a Γ-bundle V representing the given vector bundle on (X_2, P_2). Also choose a Γ-bundle F on the normalization of the fiber product Y_1 representing the given bundle on (X_1, P_1). Then the above theorem is equivalent to the statement that we have isomorphism on Y_2 of Γ-bundles

$$\tilde{f}_*(\tilde{f}^*(V) \otimes F) \cong V \otimes \tilde{f}_*(F)$$

where $\tilde{f}$ is the map from the fiber product, $Y_1 \to Y_2$. □

References

[Bis] Indranil Biswas *Parabolic bundles as orbifold bundles*, Duke Math. J. 88 (1997), no. 2, 305-325.

[BN] S. Bundagaard and J. Nielsen *On normal subgroups with finite index in F-groups*, Mat. Tidsskr. B (1951), 56-58.

[Cha] T.C. Chau, *A note concerning Fox's paper on Fenchel's conjecture*, Proc.Amer.Math. Soc. 88 (1988), 584-586.

[Fox] R.H. Fox *On Fenchel's conjecture about F-groups*, Mat. Tidsskr. B (1952), 61-65.

[Harb] David Harbater *Moduli of p-covers of curves*, Comm. Algebra 8 (1980), no. 12, 1095-1122.

[Kan] Ernst Kani *Bounds on the number of nonrational subfields of a function field.* Invent. Math. 85 (1986), no. 1, 185198.

[Kat] Nicholas M. Katz *Local-to-global extensions of representations of fundamental groups*, Annales de l'institut Fourier, 36 no. 4 (1986), p. 69-106.

[Kum] Manish Kumar *Killing wild ramification*, to appear in Israel J. Math.

[Par] A. J. Parmeswaran *Parabolic coverings I: Case of curves*, Ramanujam Math. Soc. 2010.

[Ser] Jean-Pierre Serre *Local fields*, Translated from the French by Marvin Jay Greenberg. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 67. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1979. viii+241 pp.

[SGA1] Grothendieck, Alexander *Revêtements étales et groupe fondamental (SGA 1)* Lecture Notes in Math., vol 224, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1971.

[Tam] Akio Tamagawa *Finiteness of isomorphism classes of curves in positive characteristic with prescribed fundamental groups*, J. Algebraic Geom. 13, no. 4, pages 675-724, 2004.

Statistics and Mathematics Unit, Indian Statistical Institute,, Bangalore, India-560059

E-mail address: manish@isibang.ac.in

Tata Institute of fundamental research, Mumbai